

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/531,412	04/14/2005	Anders Gustavsson	1103326-0792	7920	
7470 7	7590 09/28/2006		EXAMINER		
WHITE & CASE LLP			MORRIS, PATRICIA L		
PATENT DEP	ARTMENT E OF THE AMERICAS	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW YORK,	NY 10036		1625	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			DATE MAILED: 09/28/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applica	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10/531,	412	GUSTAVSSON, ANDERS				
		Examin	er	Art Unit				
			L. Morris	1625				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	ication appears on t	he cover sheet with t	the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINIORS of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF 7 of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no cunication. tutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICATE Event, however, may a reply will expire SIX (6) MONTHS pplication to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this of DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status					•			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on						
2a)□		this action is	non-final					
3)	,							
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims	. •						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the a	pplication		•				
.,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ai		onsideration					
5) 又	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are allowed.			r				
· —	Claim(s) <u>17</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election	requirement	,				
,—	ion Papers	don and or oloodon	roquiromonia.					
	•							
	The specification is objected to by the							
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any object			• •				
4.01	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. I	Note the attached O	ffice Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim to All b) Some * c) None of:		_	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority							
	2. Certified copies of the priority							
	3. Copies of the certified copies			ceived in this National	Stage			
	application from the Internation	•	` ''					
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the ce	tified copies not rec	ceived.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Sumi	mary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P' mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	ГО-948)		ail Date mal Patent Application				
	r No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:	aram reproduor				

Application/Control Number: 10/531,412

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-17 are under consideration in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a), (b) and/or (e) as being anticipated by Gustavsson et al. (US 5,958,955), Milac et al. (US 6,268,502), Cotton et al. (US 6,303,788) and Kuhler et al. (J. Med. Chem. 1998, 41, 1777-1788).

Gustavsson et al., Milac et al., Cotton et al. and Kuhler et al. Disclose the instant compound. Note, for example, column 2, lines 45-55, of Gustavsson et al. example 1 of Milac et al. or compound no. 3 of Kuhler et al. Hence, the instant compound is deemed to be anticipated therefrom.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number: 10/531,412 Page 3

Art Unit: 1625

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combined teachings of Gustavsson et al., Milac et al., Cotton et al. and Kuhler et al.

As discussed supra, Gustavsson et al., Milac et al., Cotton et al. and Kuhler et al. disclose the instant compound.

It is believed that one having ordinary skill in the art would have found the claimed compounds prima facie obvious, since they are generically embraced by the disclosed formula; In re Susi, 440 F.2d 442, 169 USPQ 423 (CCPA 1971). See also In re Malagari, 499 F.2 1297, 182 USPQ 549 (CCPA 1974); In re Lemin, 332 F.2d 839, 141 USPQ 814 (CCPA 1964); In re Rosicky, 276 F.2d 656, 125 USPQ 341 (CCPA 1960). The requisite motivation for arriving at the claimed compounds stems from the fact that they fall within the generic class of compounds disclosed by the references. Accordingly, one having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to prepare any of the compounds embraced by the disclosed generic formula, including those encompassed by the claims, with the expectation that each of them would be suitable as intermediates.

It is believed well settled that a reference may be relied upon for all that it would have reasonably conveyed to one having ordinary skill in the art. In re Fracalossi, 681 F.2d 792, 215 USPQ 569 (CCPA 1982); In re Lamberti, 545 F.2d 747, 192 USPQ 278 (CCPA 1976); In re Rinehart, 531 F.2d 1048, 189 USPQ 143 (CCPA 1976); In re Susi, supra.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The prior art of record recites the instant compound. Hence claim 17, is improper here. Product-by-process claims are not proper in an application where it has been demonstrated that the compound in question may be described by means of a chemical structure; In re Hughes, 182 USPQ 106 (CCPA 1974).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-16 are allowed because the prior art does not the recite or teach the claimed solvent system, i.e., a water-immiscible organic solvent and water.

Conclusion

Claim 17 is not allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia L. Morris whose telephone number is (571) 272-0688. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,412

Art Unit: 1625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner

Page 5

Art Unit 1625

plm

September 21, 2006